Planning Ref:	P8/21/006			
Development Proposal:	eastern outskirts of B Cornaroya and Knoch The proposed greenw sections: 1) From the Prin to the L1611 2) From the Nat road 3) From Cornar	allinrobe kfereen. vay is 909 mary Care Convent tional Sch oya Road s; paveme pridge ove	Town, in m in leng c Centre/S Road ool on Co to the L1 ent constru	le and pedestrian route along the the townlands of Friarsquarter West, th and will comprise of three Slí na Róba Housing Est. on the R331 onvent Road to the L16111 Cornaroya 6173 Station Road uction, fencing, boundary treatments, can River.
Introduction				
Is the proposed development list	Yes	\boxtimes	Urban Development	
Development for the purposes of and Development Regulations 2	No			
(If yes, Proceed to next step)				
(If no, an EIAR is not required				
Is the proposed development sub	Yes	\boxtimes	Less than 10 hecatres	
(If Yes, proceed to next step)	No		-	
(If no, an EIAR is required)		110		

EIA Screening - Stage 1
Preliminary Examination*

Examination							
What size is the development in the context of the existing environment?	Exceptional □ Significant □ Insignificant ⊠		<u>Comment</u>				
Is the development located on, in adjoining or have the potential to impact on an environmental sensitive site or location?	Uncertain □ Yes □ No ⊠ Uncertain □		<u>Comment</u>				
Will the development result in the production of any significant wastes / residues or result in any emissions or pollutants or result in the use of any significant natural resources?	Yes□No⊠Uncertain□			<u>Comment</u>			
Conclusion							
Based on a preliminary examination of the natur have concluded that:	·e, siz	e or loca	tion	of the developmen	it, Mayo Cour	ity Council	
There is no real likelihood of significant effects on t environment	the	EIAR r	iot ree	quired			
There is significant and realistic doubt in regard to the likelihood of significant effects on the environment		Stage 2 Screening Determination required		Unvertain			
		Schedu submitt		information	Yes 🗵	No 🗆	

* In accordance with Article 103 (1) of the Planning and Development Regulations 2001 (as amended)

	If Yes:				
	Proceed to Screening Determinati	on (Stage 2)			
	If No:				
	Request applicant in accordance with Section 172 of the				
	Planning and Development Act 20	000, (as amended) and			
	under Article 103 (1)(b)(ii) of the Planning and				
	Development Regulations 2001, (as amended) to submit the				
	information specified and in the fe	ormat of Schedule 7A of			
	the regulations for the purposes of	f making a screening			
	determination.				
There is a real likelihood of significant effects on the	EIAR is required				
environment					
	Request applicant in accordance v	vith Section 172 of the			
	Planning and Development Act 20	000, (as amended) and			
	under Article 103 (1)(b)(iii) (II) o	f the Planning and			
	Development Regulations 2001, (as amended) to submit and			
	EIAR and to comply with the requ	uirements of article 105 of			
	the regulations.				

As the project is not listed under Schedule 5 Development for the purposes of part 10 of the Planning and Development Regulations 2001 (as amended). Mayo County Council has determined that an EIAR is not required.

Signature: Childhi

Senior Executive Planner

Date: 10/05/2021

^{*} In accordance with Article 103 (1) of the Planning and Development Regulations 2001 (as amended)

EIA Screening - Stage 2 Screening Determination

	Case Details					
Planning Reference:	P8/21/006					
Development Summary:	 The construction of a greenway as a cycle and pedestrian route along the eastern outskirts of Ballinrobe Town, in the townlands of Friarsquarter West, Cornaroya and Knockfereen. The proposed greenway is 909m in length and will comprise of three sections: From the Primary Care Centre/Slí na Róba Housing Est. on the R331 to the L1611 Convent Road From the National School on Convent Road to the L16111 Cornaroya road From Cornaroya Road to the L16173 Station Road The proposal includes; pavement construction, fencing, boundary treatments, and erection of Footbridge over the Bulkan River. The area of the site is 0.53ha. 					
Has all information required under Schedule 7A been submitted?	Yes⊠ No □ N/A□	Comment The information has been provide by the Claremorris MD				
Has an AA Screening report or NIS been completed?	Yes ⊠ No □ N/A□	Comment AA Screening Report Carried out				
Is an IED/ IPC or Waste Licence (or review of licence) required from the EPA? If YES has the EPA commented on the need for an EIAR?	Yes □ No □ N/A⊠	Comment				
Have any other relevant assessments of the effects on the environment carried out pursuant to other relevant Directives? – for example, SEA	Yes □ No □ N/A⊠	Comment				

 In relation to Schedule 7A has information been provided by the applicant in regards, to proposed development:

 1.
 Description of Proposed Development
 Yes/No
 Comment

 a.
 Has a description of the physical characteristics of the whole development been provided by applicant? (including demolition works, etc.)
 Yes ⊠
 No
 □

 Uncertain □
 Uncertain □
 Uncertain □
 Uncertain □
 □

<u>Mayo County Council</u>

Planning Section

b.	Has a description of the location of the proposed development with particular regard to the environmental sensitivity of geographical areas likely to be affected, been provided?	Yes □ No ⊠ Uncertain □	No Areas Likely to be Affected
2.	Has a description of the aspects of the environment likely to be significantly affected by the proposed development been provided?	Yes □ No ⊠ Uncertain □	No Areas likely to be affected by the proposed development
3.	A description of any likely significant effects, the effects, of proposed developments on the environments of the environments of the environments of the environment of the envit of th		
	a. The expected residues and emissions and the production of waste, where relevant?	Yes □ No ⊠ Uncertain □	None Expected
	b. The use of natural resources, in particular soil, land, water and biodiversity?	Yes ⊠ No □ Uncertain □	Use of Natural resourses for construction of project, but scale is not significant
4.	The compilation of the information at paragraphs 1 to 3 shall take into account, where relevant, the criteria set out in Schedule 7.	Yes ⊠ No □	Details Provided

Conclusion

	Yes/ No	Comment
Mayo County Council are satisfied that the information submitted in in accordance with Schedule 7A.	Yes ⊠ No □	

* Ir	n accordance	with A	rticle	103 (1) of t	he Planr	ning and	Developmen	t Regulation	is 2001	(as a	mended)

Schedule 7

EXAM	INATION	Yes/No/	Briefly describe the characteristics of any likely
		Uncertain	 significant effects (having regard to the probability, magnitude. (Including population size affected), complexity, duration, frequency, intensity and reversibility of impact)) Mitigation measures- If a conclusion of no significant effects on the environment is based on specific features or measures proposed by the applicant to avoid or prevent a significant effect,
		pment (includi	these should be specified. ng demolition, construction, operation or
	ommissioning)	V	The memored development extends to on ence of
a.	Is the design/ scale significantly out of character with the surrounding environment?	Yes □ No ⊠ Uncertain □	The proposed development extends to an area of approximately 0.53 hectares. The substantive part of the overall site comprises of greenfield sites where it is proposed to provide a greenway extending from the townland of Friarsquarter West to Knockfereen.
b.	(1) Will the project combined with existing and proposed projects form part of a wider scale change that could result in a cumulative effect in the environment?	Yes □ No ⊠ Uncertain □	None are identified.
	(2) Is the project part of a wider large scale change that could result in cumulative effects on the environment?	Yes □ No ⊠ Uncertain □	
с.	Will the nature of any demolition works cause physical changes to the locality or the environment?	Yes □ No ⊠ Uncertain □	No demolition work is anticipated.
d.	Will construction or operations use natural resources such as land, soil, water and biodiversity, materials/ minerals or energy, in particular resources which are non- renewable?	Yes ⊠ No □ Uncertain □	It is anticipated to re-use natural resources where possible throughout the project to preserve the existing environment. The proposed greenway will tie into existing footpath and roads, to minimise need for natural resources. Nevertheless, some natural resources will be required for the construction of the greenway through greenfield locations, this will consist pavement construction material and fencing material.

Planning Section

		Steel and concrete will also be required for the proposed bridge construction.
 e. (1) Will the project produce any waste, such as solid waste, liquid waste, hazardous substances? (2) Will project involve use, storage, transport handling or production of substance which would be harmful to the environment 	Yes □ No ⊠ Uncertain □ Yes □ No ⊠ Uncertain □	Construction of the proposed greenway will result in minimal waste production. It is anticipated to re- use material where possible – which primarily consist of soil and surface material. Any excess waste produced during the construction phase will be moved from site by a licenced contractor for recycling or disposal depending on the type of waste.
f. Will project release pollutants or lead to a risk combined of land or water from released pollutants	Yes □ No ⊠ Uncertain □	Construction of the proposed development will be subject to standard controls and construction management plans and will not result in pollution and nuisances. During operation, the proposed Greenway will reduce air pollutant emission levels in the area through the encouraging the switch from the use of cars to the sue of bicycle and walking facilities.
g. Will there be any risk to major accidents that could affect human health or the environment?	Yes □ No ⊠ Uncertain □	The potential impacts are anticipated to be negligible given the nature of the proposed development.
h. (1) Will there be any risk to human health, (for example due to water contamination or air pollution?)	Yes □ No ⊠ Uncertain □	Due to the nature of the proposed development, no specific risks to human health have been identified. Positive impacts on human health are likely to arise at operational stage through the provision and use of amenity corridor.
(2) Will the project involve the use of storage, transport handling or production of any substance which could be harmful to human health?	Yes ⊠ No □ Uncertain □	General construction material will be used in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions, safety data sheets and the Health and Safety Authority (HSA) guidance documents.

2. Location of proposed development						
a. Is the proposed development located in an environmentally sensitive geographical area?	Yes □ No ⊠ Uncertain □	The proposed development is located primarily in greenfield sites currently used for agriculture purposes. These lands are zoned for recreational/amenity, residential, and community services facilities.				
b. (i) Would the proposed development have an effect on any of the following: abundance, availability, quality and the regenerative capacity of natural resources (including soil, land, water and biodiversity) in the area?	Yes □ No ⊠ Uncertain □	The impacts are anticipated to be negligible given the scale of the proposed development and the fact that the area constitutes primarily of greenfield sites.				
(ii) Would the proposed development have an effect on any of the following: abundance, availability, quality and the regenerative capacity of natural resources (including soil, land, water and biodiversity) underground?	Yes □ No ⊠ Uncertain □	The greenway by its nature and design will not result in significant effects on natural resources in the area and underground.				
(c) Will the location of the proposed development affect the absorption capacity of the natural environment paying particular attention to-		An Appropriate Screening Assessment has been conducted on the proposed greenway and concludes that the development will have no significant effects.				
(i) Wetlands, riparian areas, river mouths?	Yes □ No ⊠ Uncertain □	A footbridge will be erected where the proposed development crosses over the Bulkan River. A setback area will be provided to prevent any interference with the river embankment.				
(ii) Coastal zones and the marine environment?	Yes □ No ⊠ Uncertain □	N/A				
(iii) mountain and forest areas?	Yes □ No ⊠ Uncertain ⊠	N/A				
(iv) nature reserves and parks	Yes □ No ⊠ Uncertain □	N/A				
(v) Is the proposed development located on, in adjoining or have a potential	Yes 🗆	(If yes, please specify) N/A				

impact on any of the following:	No 🖾	
\rightarrow European Site (SAC/	Uncertain 🗆	
SPA/pSAC)		
\rightarrow NHA/pNHA		
→ Designated Nature Reserve		
\rightarrow Designated refuge for		
flora and fauna		
Place, site or feature of		
ecological interest, the		
preservation/conservation/pro		
tection of which is an objective of a development		
plan/LAP/ draft plan or		
variation of a plan		
(vi) Is the proposed	Yes 🗆	N/A
development located on an	No 🖂	
area which has already failed to meet the environmental		
quality standards laid down	Uncertain 🗆	
by legislation of the European		
Union and relevant to the		
project, or in which it is		
considered that there is such a failure		
(vii) is the proposed	Yes 🗆	Proposed development is located in greenfield sites.
development located in a		
densely populated area?	No 🖾	
	Uncertain 🗆	
(viii) Is the proposed	Yes 🗆	An Archaeology Assessment has been carried out
development located in an		and concludes that there is no significant impact on
area where other features of	No 🖾	archaeological features. Mitigation measures will
landscape, historic,	Uncertain 🗆	be put in place in relation to the one recorded
archaeological or cultural significance could be		moment which was found (RMP No MA118-032) adjacent to the proposed development.
affected?		adjacent to the proposed development.
3. Types and characteristics of potenti	tial impacts	
		f proposed development in relation to criteria set out
		t of the project on the factors specified in paragraph mpact assessment report' in section 171A of the Act,
taking into account:		inpact assessment report in section 1717 of the ret,
a. the magnitude and spatial	Likely 🗆	The impact is anticipated to insufficient given the
extent of the impact (for	Unlikely 🛛	scale of the proposed development at 0.53ha.
example, geographical area		The proposed development is 0.01-1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 11
and size of the population likely to be affected)	Uncertain 🗆	The proposed development is 0.9km long and will be confined to a narrow corridor. Therefore, with
intery to be affected)		the possible exception of the impacts within the
		water environment (for a pedestrian bridge over the
		Bulkan River), it is expected that the impacts will
		Bulkan River), it is expected that the impacts will be insignificant.

Planning Section

b.	the nature of the impact	Likely □ Unlikely ⊠ Uncertain □	None anticipated, majority of works involves topsoil striping to formation level of proposed corridor.
c.	the transboundary nature of the impact	Likely □ Unlikely ⊠ Uncertain □	All works to take place above water table level, with dust and noise mitigation measures to form part of the construction stage environmental plan. No transboundary environmental impact anticipated.
d.	the intensity and complexity of the impact	Likely □ Unlikely ⊠ Uncertain □	The impacts are anticipated to be insignificant given the scale and nature of the development.
e.	the probability of the impact	Likely □ Unlikely ⊠ Uncertain □	The probability is considered low given the nature and scale of the development.
f.	the expected onset, duration, frequency and reversibility of the impact	Likely □ Unlikely ⊠ Uncertain □	The impact will primarily be during the construction stage with no long-term impacts expected.
g.	the cumulation of the impact with the impact of other existing and/or development the subject of a consent for proposed development for the purposes of section 172(1A) (b) of the Act and/or development the subject of any development consent for the purposes of the Environmental Impact Assessment Directive by or under any other enactment	Likely □ Unlikely ⊠ Uncertain □	There are no significant environment effects anticipated.
h.	the possibility of effectively reducing the impact.	Likely □ Unlikely ⊠ Uncertain □	There are no significant environment effects anticipated.

Planning Section

CONCLUSION				
No real likelihood of a significant effects on the environment.	EIAR Not Required	\boxtimes		
Real likelihood of significant effects on the environment.	EIAR Required			

Conclusion to be included in Planner's Report

Where an EIAR is Required

On the basis of the information on the file, which I consider adequate in order to issue a screening determination, it is reasonable to conclude that there is a real likelihood of significant effects on the environment arising from the proposed development. An EIAR must, therefore, be submitted to Mayo County Council and must comply with the requirements of article 112 of the Planning and Development Regulations 2001 (as amended).

Where an EIAR is not Required

On the basis of the information on the file, which I consider adequate in order to issue a screening determination, it is reasonable to conclude that there is no real likelihood of significant effects on the environment arising from the proposed development and an environmental impact assessment report is not required.

Signature Chalphi

Senior Executive Planner

Date: 10/05 2021