STEP 1. Description of the project/proposal and local site characteristics:		
a) File Reference No:		
(b) Brief description of the project or plan:	Sensory Garden comprising of flower beds, tarmac walkway and water feature (Proposed Site Layout below).	
(c) Brief description of site characteristics:	The application site is <900m <sup>2</sup> located within the Tom Ruane Park on the Sligo Road, Ballina Co. Mayo (See Map in Appendix A). The site is amenity grassland and is bounded to the north by a stone wall and treeline. The site was chosen as it is serviced by an existing car park which will provide a means of direct access. The Killala Bay /Moy Estuary SAC is located 45m to the north of the proposed development separated by amenity grassland and tree copses (see Appendix B for map showing development relative Natura 2000 sites).	
(d) Relevant prescribed bodies consulted: e.g. DHLGH (NPWS), EPA, OPW	None	
(e) Response to consultation:	N/A	



STEP 2. Identification of relevant Natura 2000 sites using Source-Pathway- Receptor model and compilation of information on Qualifying Interests and conservation				
European Site (code)	object List of Qualifying interest/Special Conservation Interest	tives. Distance from Proposed development	Connections Source- Pathway- Receptor	Considered further for screening Y/N
Killala Bay/Moy Estuary SAC (000458)	13 Qls https://www.npws.ie/sites/default /files/protected- sites/conservation_objectives/CO0 00458.pdf	45m north	Yes. Weak hydrogeologic al connection exists	Y
Killala Bay / Moy Estuary SPA (004036)	9 SCIs 8 birds species and wetland <u>https://www.npws.ie/sites/default</u> /files/protected- sites/conservation_objectives/CO0 04036.pdf	2 km north east	Yes. Weak hydrogeologic al connection exists	Y
River Moy Complex SAC 002298	12 QIs <u>https://www.npws.ie/sites/default</u> <u>/files/protected-</u> <u>sites/conservation_objectives/CO0</u> <u>02298.pdf</u>	830m west	No. Proposed development is downstream	N
Lough Conn and Lough Cullin SPA 004228	5 SCIs 4 bird species and 1 wetland https://www.npws.ie/sites/default /files/protected- sites/conservation_objectives/CO0 04228.pdf	7.4km southwest	N No ecological connection due to separation distance	N
Lough Hoe Bog SAC 000633	4 Qls https://www.npws.ie/sites/default /files/protected- sites/conservation_objectives/CO0 00633.pdf	9.1km southeast	N No ecological connection due to separation distance	N
Ox Mountain Bogs SAC 002006	9 Qls https://www.npws.ie/sites/default /files/protected- sites/conservation_objectives/CO0 02006.pdf	11.3km east	N No ecological connection due to separation distance	N

STEP 3. Assessment of Likely Significant Effects			
(a) Identify all potential direct and indirect in	npacts that may have an effect on the		
conservation objectives of a European site, taking into account the size and scale of the			
project under the following headings:			
Impacts	Possible Significance of Impacts:		
	Duration/magnitude etc).		
<ul> <li>Construction Phase</li> <li>Vegetation clearance</li> <li>Demolition</li> <li>Surface water runoff from soil excavation/infill/landscaping</li> <li>Dust noise vibration</li> <li>Lighting disturbance</li> <li>Impact on groundwater/dewatering</li> <li>Storage of excavated/construction materials</li> <li>Access to site</li> <li>Pests</li> </ul>	There will be minor excavation works required to provide solid base for installation of edging, walkways and water feature. Excavated material will be removed offsite. There will be limited noise disturbance but not significantly above background traffic noise. There are no surface water pathways to the Killala Bay/Moy Estuary SAC and SPA and the presence of amenity grasslands will attenuate any runoff generated.		
	Indirect groundwater connectivity is weak and due to the short duration and low intensity of the works no significant effects are predicted on the nearby European sites.		
<ul> <li>Operational phase e.g.</li> <li>Direct emission to air and water</li> <li>Surface water runoff containing contaminant or sediment</li> <li>Lighting disturbance</li> <li>Noise/vibration</li> <li>Changes to water/groundwater due to drainage or abstraction</li> <li>Presence of people, vehicles and activities</li> <li>Physical presence of structures (e.g. collision risks)</li> <li>Potential for accidents or incidents</li> </ul>	It is not expected that there will be a significant increase in disturbance levels over and above existing activity due to the proposed project.		
In-combination/other	None		

(b) Describe any likely changes to the European site:			
<ul> <li>Examples of the type of changes to give consideration to include: <ul> <li>Reduction or fragmentation of habitat area</li> <li>Disturbance to QI species</li> <li>Habitat or species fragmentation</li> <li>Reduction or fragmentation in species density</li> <li>Changes in key indicators of conservation status value (water or air quality etc.)</li> <li>Changes to areas of sensitivity or threats to QI</li> <li>Interference with the key relationships that define the structure or ecological function of the site</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	None While the proposed development is in close proximity to the Killala Bay/ Moy Estuary SAC, due to the short duration of the construction and low intensity of use in the operational phase changes to the European site as listed are not likely to occur		

(c) Are 'mitigation' measures necessary to reach a conclusion that likely significant effects can be ruled out at screening?	
Yes 🗆 No 🖂	While best practice construction methods are
	likely to be implemented these are not
	required to avoid or reduce any affects on a
	European site. These measures are not relied
	upon to reach a conclusion of no significant
	effects on any European site.

## Step 4. Screening Determination Statement

The assessment of significance of effects:

Describe how the proposed development (alone or in-combination) is/is **not likely** to have **significant** effects on European site(s) in view of its conservation objectives.

On the basis of the information provided, which is considered adequate to undertake a screening determination and having regard to :

- The nature and scale of the proposed project on amenity grasslands in an urban setting
- Intervening grasslands acting as a buffer for any effects
- Absence of a direct connections with regard to the Surface-Pathway-Receptor model

It is concluded that the proposed project, individually or incombination with other plans or projects, would bot be likely to have a significant effect on the above listed European sites or any other European site, in view of the said sites conservation objectives

An Appropriate Assessment is not, therefore, required.

Conclusion :		
	Tick as	Recommendation
	Appropriate	
(i) It is clear that there is <b>no</b>		The proposal can be screened out:
likelihood of significant effects on	$\boxtimes$	Appropriate assessment not required.
a European		
(ii) It is <b>uncertain</b> whether the		□ Request further information to complete
proposal will have a significant		screening
effect on a European site.		□Request NIS
		□Refuse planning permission
(iii) Significant effects are likely.		□Request NIS
		□Refuse planning permission
Signature and Date of	Leo Brogan	
Recommending Officer:	A. Assistant Scientist	
	Environment, Climate Action and Agriculture Section	
	Lest	Seala
Signature and Date of the Decision		
Maker:		

## **APPENDIX A**

Site Location Map



## **APPENDIX B**

Map showing development relative to Natura 2000 sites

